# CAN START-UPS LEVERAGE METAVERSE FOR DEVELOPING DISRUPTION BUSINESS MODELS? AN INTERPRETIVE STRUCTURAL MODELLING APPROACH

**Jayanta Chakraborti**
Associate Professor
Symbiosis Skills and Professional University & Research Scholar, NIT Agartala,
ORCID ID: 0000-0002-6745-0108
Email: jayanta.chakraborti@sspu.edu.in

**Dr. Anirban Dutta**
²Assistant Professor, NIT Agartala, Tripura
ORCID ID: 0000-0002-5900-2401,
Email: anirbandutta.som@gmail.com

**Dr. Prashant Chaudhary\***
Associate Professor, Dr. Vishwanath Karad MIT World Peace University, Pune
ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1752-562
Email: prashant.vchaudhary@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

The metaverse is an emerging virtual reality environment that offers new opportunities for start-ups to create innovative and disruptive business models. However, the creation of a disruptive business model in the metaverse is a complex, multidimensional and multifaceted challenge that involves understanding the metaverse market, user behaviour, technology trends, and regulatory considerations etc. This research categorically aim to explore the key factors and determinants of metaverse that can bring innovative solutions for the start-ups and start-up ecosystems that are aiming to create a disruptive business model. In this context, researchers have used the interpretative structural modelling technique to address this objective, which also shows the novelty of this study. It was found that, the key factors that play a key role in the creation of a disruptive business model for start-ups by leveraging metaverse technologies are immersiveness, interface, interactivity, interconnectivity, environmental fidelity, sociability and security. Hence, this research essentially endeavours to provide valuable and actionable insights for start-ups and other stakeholders looking to create disruptive business models in the Metaverse.

**Keywords:** Metaverse, Start-ups, Disruptive Business Model, Interpretative Structural Modelling, Start-up Ecosystem, and Omni-channel Business Approach etc.

## 1. Introduction

In recent years, India has been emerged as the third largest start-up ecosystem in the world (Kalra & Shubhankar, 2022). According to a report by (Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade (DPIIT), 2022), the Indian start up ecosystem has seen a significant increase in the number of start-ups, with the number of start-ups in India growing from around 4,200 in 2014 to around 73,000 in 2022. The Indian start up ecosystem is rapidly evolving and has the potential to make a significant impact on the growth of Indian economy and employment generation (Bhatt et al., 2022). The COVID-19 pandemic and the rise in application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) powered technologies and disruptive business platforms like metaverse has been a major driver for the exponential adoption of inclination of consumers towards digitally driven businesses and E-commerce (Guckenbiehl & Corral de Zubielqui, 2022).

The metaverse, a term coined by science fiction author Neal Stephenson in 1992, refers to a virtual reality shared by millions of users (Giang Barrera & Shah, 2023a). In recent years, there has been a growing interest in the

potential of the metaverse to revolutionize industries such as gaming, tourism, education, retail, entertainment, and social media (Dwivedi, Hughes, Wang, et al., 2022; Koohang et al., 2023). The metaverse could revolutionize these industries by creating new and immersive ways for people to interact with digital content, virtual world and conduct transactions (Brannon Barhorst et al., 2021). A recent report by McKinsey & Company assessed that the marketing opportunities within the Metaverse, via direct-to-avatar transactions, are envisioned as a $54 billion market (Hazan et al., 2022)

The disruptive business models that will be necessary to fully realize the metaverse's potential will likely come from start-ups that can create new technologies, business models, and user experiences that are not possible in the physical world (Sun et al., 2022). Start-ups are often seen as the driving force behind disruptive business models because they are able to take risks and experiment with new technologies and business models (Ahn et al., 2022). They also have the advantage of being able to move quickly and adapt to changing market conditions (Bogicevic et al., 2021). In the context of the metaverse, start-ups can play a key role in developing the technologies and platforms that will be necessary to create immersive and engaging virtual experiences (Hackl et al., 2022). However, the metaverse is still in its early stages of development, and it remains to be seen what impact it will have on customer experience, brand engagement, and on the society and the economy at large (Buhalis et al., 2023). This research paper aims to explore the concept of the metaverse, its current state of development, its potential impact on start-ups and the opportunities it presents to start-up companies as a whole to create a disruptive business model (Dwivedi, Hughes, Baabdullah, et al., 2022).

In this study, we seek to address the following research questions:
RQ1: What are the factors that will act as facilitators for start-up companies to create a disruptive business model in the metaverse?
RQ2: What is the inter-relationship between the factors that act as facilitators for start-up companies to create a disruptive business model in the metaverse?
RQ3: How do the factors that act as facilitators for start-up companies to create a disruptive

business model in the metaverse influence each other?

The interpretative structural modelling (ISM) (Sagheer et al., 2009) is used to identify the factors that contribute to the successful implementation of the disruptive business model by start-ups in metaverse. Hence, this research study aims to establish a solid foundation for determining the factors that contribute to the development of a disruptive business model (DBM) by start-ups in the metaverse by using ISM to examine the relationships between dependent, driving, linked, and autonomous variables and creating a hierarchical interpretive structure (Jolhe & Babu, 2014).

## 2. Literature Review
### 2.1 Definition of Metaverse
The term "Metaverse" was first coined by science fiction author Neal Stephenson in his 1992 novel Snow Crash, in which he described "a virtual world where people could interact with each other and digital objects in a fully immersive environment". The term "Metaverse" is made up of two words: "Meta", which means beyond and "Verse", which means universe (Bobrowsky & Needleman, 2022). (Koohang et al., 2023) described Metaverse as "a virtual platform that uses extended reality technologies, i.e. augmented reality, virtual reality, mixed reality, 3D graphics, and other emerging technologies (Cook et al., 2020) to allow real-time interactions and experiences in ways that are not possible in the physical world" (Bobrowsky & Needleman, 2022). According to (Sun et al., 2022), "the Metaverse is a highly immersive virtual digital world formed by digital technology in which people can simulate various activities in the real world and interact with the real world". (Rauschnabel, 2021).

### 2.2 Development of Metaverse
The evolution of technologies like AR, VR and AI etc. essentially played a key role in the potential creation of a metaverse. These technologies overlay digital objects onto the real world, has the potential to create a seamless transition between the physical and virtual worlds (Han et al., 2020; Rauschnabel et al., 2018). The interest in Metaverse got triggered when Facebook officially changed its name to Meta in 2021. The year 2021 and 2022 are considered to be a watershed years for

Metaverse, with a series of disruptive business models being introduced. Google launched 3D video calling technology called Starline. In the same year, Microsoft launched Mesh12 and also acquired Activision Blizzard, the world's largest game developer and publisher. Entering this space, NVIDIA launched Omniverse13, a platform for generating interactive AI avatars (Dwivedi, Hughes, Baabdullah, et al., 2022; Riar et al., 2022). Chipotle and McDonald's have started interacting with their customers through metaverse (Koohang et al., 2023). HSBC has partnered with Sandbox to reach out to e-sports and gaming fans (Gkritsi, 2022). Nike and Gucci are selling virtual products and digital twins in metaverse using non-fungible tokens or NFT (Hofstetter et al., 2022).

## 2.3 Disruptive Business Models (DBM) in Metaverse

Metaverse has the potential to revolutionize industries such as gaming, entertainment, social media and education (Shen et al., 2021). In gaming, the metaverse enables players to participate in massive multiplayer games with realistic virtual environments and characters (Koohang et al., 2023). In entertainment, the metaverse allows the creation of virtual concert venues and movie theatres (Giang Barrera & Shah, 2023a). In social media, the metaverse enables people to interact with each other in virtual spaces, rather than through text and images on a screen (Sun et al., 2022; Sung et al., 2021).

In addition to these industries, the metaverse also has the potential to impact other industries such as retail, healthcare, education, training and skill development and even real estate (Sitammagari et al., 2021). Healthcare providers can use virtual reality to provide remote consultations and treatments (Taulli, 2022). Real estate companies can use virtual reality to provide virtual tours of properties, which can be especially useful in the current situation where travel is restricted due to the pandemic (Chakraborti et al., 2022; Trunfio & Rossi, 2022).

## 2.4 Interconnectivity (INCV) and Immersiveness (IMMR) in Metaverse

The Metaverse is built upon a range of supporting technologies that enable its functionality and provide a foundation for the virtual world (Speicher et al., 2019). Some of these key technologies include Blockchain, AR/VR/XR, 3D modelling and graphics, Internet of Things (IoT), holography, cloud computing, quantum computing, robotics, artificial intelligence (AI), 5G and Edge Computing, and mechatronics etc. (Iyer, 2023). For instance, Cloud Computing provides scalable and highly accessible computing resources that support the infrastructure of the Metaverse (Yang et al., 2022). 5G and Edge computing provide low latency and high bandwidth connectivity for the Metaverse, enabling real-time interactions and experiences (Koohang et al., 2023). These technologies work together to create a highly advanced, integrated and dynamic virtual world that enables a wide range of activities and experiences for users (Hollensen et al., 2022). Hence, Metaverse is a highly immersive space created through advanced digital technologies, where individuals can experience activities similar to the real world and interact with them. It seamlessly merges the physical and virtual world, enabling avatars to engage in various activities such as "creation, display, entertainment, socializing, and commerce" (Nalbant & Aydin, 2023). VR, AR and XR devices are enabling and empowering the organisations to provide "immersive, interactive and personalised" omni-channel experiences in the Metaverse (P. Chaudhary et al., 2021; P. Chaudhary, Kiran, Kate, et al., 2022; P. Chaudhary & Pandey, 2021; Rauschnabel et al., 2018).

## 2.5 Interface (INTF) in Metaverse

The interface of the Metaverse comprises of four layers, which are the interaction layer, network layer, application layer and supporting technologies. The interaction layer of the Metaverse comprises of the technologies/hardware/devices that allow users to experience the true magic of the metaverse and explore it through dynamic human-computer interaction (HCI). This can be VR/AR/XR headsets, holograms, smart glasses, and haptic technologies where users can navigate digital worlds in real-time (Brannon Barhorst et al., 2021; Rauschnabel et al., 2018; Ruusunen et al., 2023).

The network layer in the Metaverse refers to the underlying technology that enables communication and exchange of data between different parts of the virtual world. This layer is responsible for enabling seamless and real-

time communication between avatars, digital assets, and other virtual entities within the Metaverse. The network layer uses advanced technologies such as blockchain, peer-to-peer networking, and distributed computing to create a decentralized and secure infrastructure for the virtual world. It plays a critical role in facilitating the interactions, transactions, and experiences that take place within the Metaverse (Yang et al., 2022). The application layer is mainly related "to the content production and maintenance of the Metaverse, including spatial mapping, content generation, and authentication mechanisms. Spatial mapping is the complete real-time mapping based on the real world, which is related to digital twin (DT), holography, and AI" (Kozinets et al., 2021).

### 2.6 Interactivity (INTA) in Metaverse

The Metaverse, a virtual parallel to reality, is characterized by its ability to extend both space and time. The concept of time and space in the Metaverse is composed of data and algorithms, with a particularly notable feature being its expansive virtual space. Unlike traditional virtual worlds in games, the Metaverse allows for interaction and integration between the virtual and real world, leading to a growing connection between human bodies and technology and resulting in the evolution of social activity from solely human to a combination of human and machine, known as a cybernetic organism (Mccracken, 2022). Hence, it presents a unique opportunity for start-up brands and marketers to improve, refine and improvise their marketing strategy, brand communication, and customer engagement across the different touch-points in Omni-channel way (P. Chaudhary et al., 2021; Giang Barrera & Shah, 2023a; Taulli, 2022).

### 2.8 Environmental Fidelity (ENVF) in Metaverse

Environmental Fidelity in the Metaverse refers to the degree of realism and accuracy in the representation of the virtual world's environment, including its physical, sensory, and behavioural characteristics. This includes the realism of textures, lighting, shadows, sounds, and other sensory cues within the virtual world, as well as the accuracy and consistency of the environment's physical laws and behaviours (Giang Barrera & Shah, 2023a; Han et al., 2020). For the Metaverse to be highly engaging and believable, it's important to have high environmental fidelity. This creates a virtual world that feels real and allows users to experience a sense of being present. With high environmental fidelity, users can interact with virtual objects and surroundings in a natural and intuitive way, and participate in activities that resemble those in the physical world (Bogicevic et al., 2021; Hennig-Thurau et al., 2022). Attaining high environmental fidelity requires a combination of cutting-edge technologies such as VR/AR, 3D modelling, and simulation, along with a thorough comprehension of human perception and cognition. The objective is to construct a virtual space that is indistinguishable from reality in terms of its sensory and behavioural attributes (Wedel et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2022).

### 2.9 Sociability (SOC) and Security (SEC) in Metaverse

The focus of online platforms has primarily been on utilitarian value exchange, such as building networks for purchasing or advertising products and services between individuals or companies and their global audience (P. Chaudhary, 2022; P. V. Chaudhary, 2016). As activity shifts towards the Metaverse, there is likely to be a reduced emphasis on utilitarian exchange and an increased focus on enhancing the hedonic aspects that cater to our human experiences, rather than solely serving as consumers. This shift would place a greater emphasis on sociological aspects, rather than solely technological considerations, as the Metaverse is established (Balis, 2022). Security is a critical issue in the Metaverse as it involves sensitive user information, digital assets, and financial transactions. The virtual world is susceptible to various types of cyber-attacks such as hacking, theft, fraud, and identity theft (Chow et al., 2022). Moreover, the decentralized nature of the Metaverse infrastructure can pose challenges in safeguarding user data privacy and security (Jaipong et al., 2023). To overcome these security concerns, implementing robust security measures such as encryption, secure authentication, and access control is crucial. The implementation of blockchain technology, continuous assessment of security systems and maintaining high-security standards can also provide a high level of security and transparency, as it allows for secure and

transparent transactions and asset ownership (Pooyandeh et al., 2022; Sebastian, 2022).

## 3. Research Methodology:

A review of the literature has shown that ISM is a systems-thinking method. It is holistic and versatile process that helps to identify the facilitators or inhibitors of a system and also examine interweaving relationships in complex scenarios (Rajan et al., 2021). According to (Warfield, 1974) ISM approach relies on reviews of relevant studies, semi-structured interviews, subjective judgments of industry experts and brainstorming sessions to gather information, identify relevant variables, and establish relationships among them. It essentially seeks to identify the key components, their interconnections and the structural relationships that influence the functioning of the system. The method is used to map out the hierarchy of elements in a system, from the most fundamental to the most complex, and to understand the constraints and limitations that affect the system {Citation}.

### 3.1 Identification of Variables

The variables for conducting the study were identified by study of extant literature and conducting in-depth interviews with experts. Reviewing relevant literature provided us the background information and a deeper understanding of the system being analysed.

### 3.2 Structural Self-Interaction Matrix (SSIM)

The Structural Self-Interaction Matrix (SSIM) is a mathematical representation used in the field of interpretative structural modelling (ISM). It is a matrix that displays the relationships between elements of a system and how they interact with each other. The matrix can be used to analyse complex processes and to visualize the strengths and weaknesses of the relationships between the elements. The SSIM

### Table – 1: Variables Identified for ISM Analysis

| Sl No | Abbreviation | Variable | Description | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | DBM | Disruptive Business Model | Type of business model that fundamentally changes the way that products or services are provided, creating new markets and transforming existing ones. | (Taulli, 2022) |
| 2 | IMMR | Immersiveness | Refers to the degree of involvement and presence a user experiences within a virtual environment. | (Giang Barrera & Shah, 2023a) |
| 3 | INTF | Interface | Connection between the user and the virtual world that determines how easily and effectively users can interact with and experience the virtual environment. | (Sun et al., 2022) |
| 4 | INTA | Interactivity | Refers to the ability of users to engage with virtual environments, objects, and other users in meaningful ways. | (Koohang et al., 2023) |
| 5 | INCV | Interconnectivity | Refers to the connections between virtual environments and objects within the metaverse, as well as the connections between the metaverse and the physical world. | (Dwivedi, Hughes, Wang, et al., 2022) |
| 6 | ENVF | Environmental Fidelity | Refers to the realism and accuracy of the virtual environments within the metaverse. It encompasses various aspects such as the visual and auditory detail of the environment, as well as its physical properties and behaviours. | (Giang Barrera & Shah, 2023a) |
| 7 | SOC | Sociability | Refers to the social and communicative aspects of the virtual world, including the ability of users to interact with each other and engage in social activities and experiences | (Giang Barrera & Shah, 2023a) |
| 8 | SEC | Security | Security is of utmost importance in the metaverse as it helps ensure the safety and privacy of users' personal information, assets, and activities within the virtual world. This includes protecting against hacking, theft, and fraud, as well as ensuring that users have control over their personal information and data. | (Jaipong et al., 2023) |

can also help identify areas where changes or improvements can be made to optimize the overall functioning of the system (Dubey et al., 2015). For building the SSIM Matrix, four symbols, namely V, A, X and O have been used to express and establish the relationship between a pair of variables (i and j) in a manner so that it fulfils the following condition:

**Table – 2: Symbols Used for SSIM Analysis**

| Symbol | Relationship | Reference |
|---|---|---|
| V | "Variable i has a significant impact on variable j" | (Singh et al., 2007) |
| A | "Variable j has a significant impact on variable i" | |
| X | "Variable i and j both have a significant impact on each other" | |
| O | "There is no relationship between variables i and j" | |

Based on the contextual relationships, the SSIM table has been constructed and illustrated below:

2007). Hence, to construct the reachability matrix, the following rule has been followed:

**Table – 4: Rules for Constructing Reachability Matrix**

| Condition | Outcome | Reference |
|---|---|---|
| "The (*i, j*) entry in the SSIM Matrix is V" | "The (i, j) entry in the reachability matrix becomes 1 and the (*j, i*) entry becomes 0" | (Singh et al., 2007) |
| "The (*i, j*) entry in the SSIM is A" | "The (i, j) entry in the reachability matrix becomes 0 and the (*j, i*) entry becomes 1" | |
| "The (*i, j*) entry in the SSIM is X" | "The (i, j) entry in the reachability matrix becomes 1 and the (*j, i*) entry also becomes 1" | |
| "The (*i, j*) entry in the SSIM is O" | "The (i, j) entry in the reachability matrix becomes 0 and the (*j, i*) entry also becomes 0" | |

Following the above-mentioned rules, the Reachability Matrix has been constructed and illustrated in table No 5:

**Table – 3: Structural Self-Interaction Matrix (SSIM)**

| | DBM | SEC | SOC | ENVF | INCV | INTA | INTF | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| IMMR | V | X | X | V | O | V | V | |
| INTF | V | A | V | V | X | X | | |
| INTA | V | A | X | A | X | | | |
| INCV | V | A | X | V | | | | |
| ENVF | V | X | X | | | | | |
| SOC | V | A | | | | | | |
| SEC | V | | | | | | | |
| DBM | | | | | | | | |

## 3.3 Reachability Matrix

The reachability matrix in interpretive structural modelling (ISM) is a table that represents the relationships between different elements in a system, indicating which elements can influence or be influenced by other elements. Each element is represented by a column and a row in the matrix, and cells are filled with either a 1 or a 0 to indicate the presence or absence of a direct relationship between two elements. The reachability matrix is used to identify the most influential elements in the system and the interdependencies between them, helping to better understand the structure of the system and inform decision-making (Singh et al.,

**Table – 5: Reachability Matrix**

| | DBM | IMMR | INTF | INTA | INCV | ENVF | SOC | SEC |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| IMMR | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| INTF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| INTA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| INCV | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| ENVF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SOC | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| SEC | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| DBM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

NB: DBM→Disruptive Business Model, IMMR→Immersiveness, INTF→Interface, INTA→Interactivity, INCV→Interconnectivity, ENVF→ Environmental Fidelity, SOC→ Sociability, SEC→ Security

## 3.4 MICMAC Analysis

MICMAC (Method for Interpreting and Conceptualizing of Acronyms, Methods and Concept) is a technique used in Interpretive Structural Modelling (ISM). MICMAC helps to understand the interdependence, hierarchy and consistency of the relationships among different elements in the system (Mageto et al., 2022). The driving power and dependence in ISM refers to the strength of the relationships between the elements in the system. Driving power is the ability of one element to affect the behaviour of other elements in the system. This concept is used to understand the elements that have the most impact in a system and the direction of influence between elements. Dependence, on the other hand, is the degree to which an element depends on other elements in the system. This concept helps to understand the level of vulnerability of the elements in the system and how changes in one element may affect others (Azevedo et al., 2013; Sagheer et al., 2009).

### Table – 6: Dependency and Driving Power

| | DBM | IMMR | INTF | INTA | INCV | ENVF | SOC | SEC | Driving Power |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| IMMR | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| INTF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| INTA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| INCV | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| ENVF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| SOC | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| SEC | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| DBM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Dependency | 8 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 44 |

NB: DBM→Disruptive Business Model, IMMR→Immersiveness, INTF→Interface, INTA→Interactivity, INCV→Interconnectivity, ENVF→ Environmental Fidelity, SOC→ Sociability, SEC→ Security

In MICMAC Analysis, driving power and dependence are used together to understand the structure of the system and the relationships between its elements. Based on driving power and dependence, the various factors have also been classified as autonomous, dependant, linkage and drivers (Azevedo et al., 2013; Mageto et al., 2022).



**Figure – 1: MICMAC Analysis Matrix**

From the MICMAC Analysis, we can see that there are no autonomous factors. Disruptive Business Model (DBM) is the only dependent factor. There are five factors that act as linkages. They are interconnectivity (INCV), environmental fidelity (ENVF), interface (INTF), interactivity (INTA) and sociability (SOC). These are factors that have high dependence as well as high driving power. Immersiveness (IMMR) and security (SEC) are the drivers. They have low dependence and high driving power.

### 3.5 Level Partitions

In ISM, we can create levels by using an antecedent set. The creation of levels help to focus on the most critical elements or variables and prioritize the efforts to achieve the desired goal or outcome more effectively (Sagheer et al., 2009). Using an antecedent set to create levels in Interpretive Structural Modeling (ISM) involves identifying the minimum set of elements or variables required to achieve a certain goal or outcome and organizing these elements into different levels based on their importance and interdependencies. The process starts by identifying the goal or outcome the researchers want to achieve. The next step is to identify the minimum set of elements or variables that are required to achieve the goal or outcome. This is the antecedent set (Singh et al., 2007) and based on it, the elements or variables are organized into different levels as per their importance and interdependencies. Elements that are critical to achieving the goal are placed in higher levels, while elements that are less important are placed in lower levels. In the final stage, the different levels are analysed to understand the interdependencies between elements or variables, and to identify critical elements that need to be addressed first (Digalwar & Giridhar, 2015).

**Table – 7: Partitioned Reachability Matrix**

| Parameter | Reachability Set | Antecedent Set | Intersection Set | Level |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| DBM | DBM | DBM, IMMR, INTF, INTA, INCV, ENVF, SOC, SEC | DBM | 1 |
| INTF | INTF, INTA | IMMR, INTF, INTA, INCV, ENVF, SOC, SEC | INTF | 2 |
| INTA | INTF, INTA | IMMR, INTF, INTA, INCV, ENVF, SOC, SEC | INTA | 2 |
| INCV | INCV, ENVF | IMMR, INCV, ENVF, SOC, SEC | INCV | 3 |
| ENVF | INCV, ENVF | IMMR, INCV, ENVF, SOC, SEC | ENVF | 3 |
| SOC | SOC | IMMR, SOC, SEC | SOC | 4 |
| IMMR | IMMR | IMMR, SEC | IMMR | 5 |
| SEC | SEC | SEC | SEC | 6 |



**Figure – 2: ISM Model**

## 4. Findings and Discussion:

It was observed that there are several key factors that contribute to digital disruption by start-ups in metaverse, including interface, interactivity, environmental fidelity, interconnectivity, sociability, immersiveness and security. There exist strong interrelationships between these factors, and that addressing one factor may have a snowball impact on several others. (Hennig-Thurau & Ognibeni, 2022)

The interface in the metaverse is crucial as it serves as the gateway for users to interact and navigate within the virtual world. A user-friendly and intuitive interface can greatly enhance the user experience and make the metaverse more accessible to a wider audience. It also plays a crucial role in shaping the overall look and feel of the virtual world and in providing a sense of immersion and presence for users. Additionally, an engaging interface can provide valuable data and insights that can be used to further improve and evolve the metaverse.

Interactivity is a key factor in the metaverse, as it allows users to actively participate and engage with the virtual environment and other users. The level of interactivity determines how users can experience and interact with the virtual world and its contents, and has a direct impact on the level of immersion and sense of presence within the metaverse. High levels of interactivity can lead to more engaging and dynamic experiences, while also enabling the creation of new forms of entertainment, education, and commerce. Additionally, interactivity can foster social connections and a sense of community within the metaverse, further enhancing its value as a platform.

Environmental fidelity is a key factor in the metaverse as it directly impacts the user experience, creativity, and the potential for various use cases. High environmental fidelity creates a sense of immersion and presence in the virtual world, making the experience more believable and engaging. Accurately representing real-world environments makes it easier for users to understand and navigate within the metaverse. A high level of environmental fidelity enables the creation of virtual environments that are more imaginative and believable, leading to a wider range of creative possibilities. Environmental

fidelity is also important for certain use cases, such as simulation and training, where a realistic representation of physical environments is crucial.

Interconnectivity is essential in the metaverse as it enhances accessibility, collaboration, scalability, and integration, enabling a more dynamic and interconnected virtual world. Interconnectivity enables users to easily move between different virtual environments and platforms within the metaverse, expanding the range of experiences and opportunities available. Interconnectivity enables users to work together across different virtual environments, fostering collaboration and creativity. Interconnectivity allows the metaverse to scale and grow as more virtual environments and platforms are added, creating a larger and more diverse virtual world. Interconnectivity enables the integration of different virtual environments and real-world systems, creating a seamless experience between the physical and virtual worlds.

Sociability is a crucial aspect of the metaverse, as it enables the formation of virtual communities, increases user engagement, enables collaboration, and has significant commercial potential. Sociability enables the formation of virtual communities and social networks, fostering a sense of belonging and social connection within the metaverse. Sociability can increase user engagement and the level of participation within the metaverse, leading to a more dynamic and active virtual world. Sociability enables users to work together, collaborate, and share experiences, fostering a sense of community and creating new opportunities for creativity and innovation. Sociability also has significant commercial potential (P. Chaudhary, Kiran, & Shimpi, 2022), as it can drive user engagement and enable new forms of social commerce within the metaverse.

Immersiveness is a crucial factor in the metaverse as it directly impacts the user experience, presence, engagement, and creativity, enabling a more dynamic and believable virtual world. A high level of immersiveness can greatly enhance the user experience, making the virtual environment more engaging and believable. Immersiveness creates a sense of presence, allowing users to

feel as if they are physically present within the virtual world. Immersiveness can increase user engagement and the level of participation within the metaverse, leading to a more dynamic and active virtual world. Immersiveness can also foster creative expression and imagination, enabling the creation of new and innovative experiences within the metaverse. (Yadav & Pavlou, 2020; Yim et al., 2008)

Security is a critical concern in the metaverse, as the virtual environment is used to store and transmit sensitive information, such as personal data and financial transactions. Ensuring the security of user data and transactions is crucial to protect against unauthorized access, theft, and other types of malicious activity. Maintaining the security of the metaverse is essential for building user trust and ensuring the long-term success of the platform. The metaverse may also be subject to regulations and laws that require compliance with certain security standards, such as data protection and privacy laws. A breach of security can have a damaging impact on the reputation of the metaverse and its stakeholders (including the start-ups), making it difficult to attract and retain users. Security is an essential aspect of the metaverse as it helps to protect user data, maintain user trust, ensure compliance with regulations, and protect the reputation of the platform.

In conclusion, this research demonstrates the usefulness of ISM in identifying the important factors that play a key role in the subject area of creating disruptive business model by start-ups in Metaverse. By using ISM, we were able to gain a deeper understanding of the interrelationships between various factors that contribute to the creation disruptive business model by start-ups in Metaverse. All these factors, when integrated and incorporated in the right manner can help the start-ups to create a disruptive business model in the Metaverse that will help them to edge out the competition and forge ahead with sustainable competitive advantage. (Sherman & Craig, 2019)

**5. Managerial Implications:**
Based on the findings, it is suggested that the disruptive business model must have immersiveness, sociability and environmental fidelity to create a sustainable and impactful

business proposition. Hence, for creating a disruptive business model in Metaverse, start-up companies need to focus on creating immersive applications with the help of these technologies (Hollensen et al., 2022). Metaverse certainly brings many opportunities for start-ups and start-up ecosystem but the advantage is likely to sustain only for those which could utilise these technologies to strengthen their business model and build robust products. Besides that, the start-ups needs to take into account the crucial factors like environment fidelity, interface, interaction and social value in metaverse. Security is key concern that must be addressed while creating a disruptive business model by start-ups in the metaverse.

Nevertheless, creating a disruptive business model in the metaverse by start-ups can have several critical implications, both positive and negative (Park & Kim, 2022). A disruptive business model in the metaverse has the potential to completely change the existing market dynamics and disrupt established players in the industry. A disruptive business model can also create new markets and opportunities for growth for start-ups within the metaverse (Bousba & Arya, 2022). A successful disruptive business model in the metaverse can provide a significant competitive advantage, enabling the start-ups to gain a significant market share and attract a large user base. Hence, it is the need of the hour to get focused on developing physical, digital and social infrastructure in order to strengthen the start-up ecosystem. However, disruptive business models can also face significant resistance from established players and traditional market structures, who may see the disruption by start-ups as a threat to their business. Disruptive business models in the metaverse may also face challenges from regulators and policymakers, who may be concerned about the impact of the business on the existing market and society as a whole. In summary, creating a disruptive business model by start-ups in the metaverse can have significant implications for the market, competition, and regulation, and must be approached with caution and a thorough understanding of the potential risks and challenges (Giang Barrera & Shah, 2023b).

## 6. Limitation and Future Direction:

This research work encountered several limitations related to data availability, complexity, market uncertainty, user behaviour, ethical considerations, and regulation. As the metaverse is a relatively new and emerging technology, there is limited data available on the topic, making it difficult to conduct comprehensive research. The metaverse is a complex and multifaceted environment that encompasses many different elements, including technology, business, and social aspects. This complexity makes it difficult to fully understand and research the topic. The metaverse is still in its early stages of development and the market is highly uncertain, making it difficult to accurately predict future trends and developments. User behaviour within the metaverse can be difficult to predict, as it is influenced by many factors, including technology, psychology, and culture. Research in the metaverse may raise ethical considerations, such as data privacy and the protection of user data. The regulation of the metaverse is still evolving and may impact the ability of a start-up to create disruptive business models. Hence, further research is needed to validate our findings and to explore the implementation and impact of these solutions. Future research on this area could focus on market analysis, user behaviour, technology trends, collaboration and partnerships, regulation, and ethical considerations pertaining to Metaverse. The scholars must also address ethical considerations related to user data privacy, intellectual property, and other important issues in their future research work.

## References

Ahn, S. J. (Grace), Kim, J., & Kim, J. (2022). The Bifold Triadic Relationships Framework: A Theoretical Primer for Advertising Research in the Metaverse. *Journal of Advertising*, *51*(5), 592–607. https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2022.2111729

Azevedo, S., Carvalho, H., & Cruz-Machado, V. (2013). Using interpretive structural modelling to identify and rank performance measures: An application in the automotive supply chain. *Baltic Journal of Management*, *8*(2), 208–230. https://doi.org/10.1108/1746526131310027

Balis, J. (2022, January 3). How Brands Can Enter the Metaverse. *Harvard Business Review*. https://hbr.org/2022/01/how-brands-can-enter-the-metaverse

Bhatt, N., Saurabh, P., & Verma, R. K. (2022). Technology startup ecosystem in India. *International Journal of Business Innovation and Research*, *27*(4), 413. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBIR.2022.122491

Bobrowsky, M., & Needleman, S. E. (2022, April 28). What is metaverse? The future vision of the Internet. *WSJ Story*. https://www.wsj.com/story/what-is-the-metaverse-the-future-vision-for-the-internet-ca97bd98

Bogicevic, V., Liu, S. Q., Seo, S., Kandampully, J., & Rudd, N. A. (2021). Virtual reality is so cool! How technology innovativeness shapes consumer responses to service preview modes. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, *93*, 102806. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2020.102806

Bousba, Y., & Arya, V. (2022). Let's Connect in Metaverse. Brand's New Destination to Increase Consumers' Affective Brand Engagement & Their Satisfaction and Advocacy. *JOURNAL OF CONTENT COMMUNITY AND COMMUNICATION*, *15*(8), 276–293. https://doi.org/10.31620/JCCC.06.22/19

Brannon Barhorst, J., McLean, G., Shah, E., & Mack, R. (2021). Blending the real world and the virtual world: Exploring the role of flow in augmented reality experiences. *Journal of Business Research*, *122*, 423–436. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.08.041

Buhalis, D., Lin, M. S., & Leung, D. (2023). Metaverse as a driver for customer experience and value co-creation: Implications for hospitality and tourism management and marketing. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, *35*(2), 701–716. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-05-2022-0631

Chakraborti, J., Dutta, A., & Jana, B. (2022). An Empirical Investigation into Why Startups Resist Use of Digital Marketing. *JOURNAL OF CONTENT COMMUNITY AND COMMUNICATION*, *15*(8), 69–83. https://doi.org/10.31620/JCCC.06.22/06

Chaudhary, P. (2022). Royal Enfield: Preserving and leveraging legacy appeal while revamping brand imagery. *Journal of Brand Strategy*, *10*(3). https://www.henrystewartpublications.com/jbs/v10

Chaudhary, P., Kiran, P., Kate, N., & Pandey, S. (2022). Experiential tourism – role and application of micro-targeting in enhancing customer experience, engagement and loyalty. *Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences*, *43*(6), 1463–1473. https://doi.org/10.1080/02522667.2022.2139929

Chaudhary, P., Kiran, P., & Shimpi, S. (2022). Understanding the Impact of Marketing Outcomes from the Hashtags of the Wellness Industry: Twitter Perspective. *JOURNAL OF CONTENT COMMUNITY AND COMMUNICATION*, *16*(8), 92–105. https://doi.org/10.31620/JCCC.12.22/08

Chaudhary, P., & Pandey, S. (2021). Case Study—ToRoots Explorers: Branding Experiential Tourism in the Digital Age. *The Case Centre (ET Cases)*. https://www.etcases.com/toroots-explorers-branding-experiential-tourism-in-the-digital-age.html

Chaudhary, P., Singh, A., & Sharma, S. (2021). Understanding the antecedents of omni-channel shopping by customers with reference to fashion category: The Indian millennials' perspective. *Young Consumers*. https://doi.org/10.1108/YC-05-2021-1327

Chaudhary, P. V. (2016). *Retail marketing in the modern age*. SAGE.

Chow, Y.-W., Susilo, W., Li, Y., Li, N., & Nguyen, C. (2022). Visualization and Cybersecurity in the Metaverse: A Survey. *Journal of Imaging*, *9*(1), 11. https://doi.org/10.3390/jimaging9010011

Cook, A. V., Ohri, L., Kusumoto, L., Reynolds, C., & Schwertzel, E. (2020). *Augmented shopping: The quiet revolution Uncovering value for retailers and customers through 3D technology*. Deloitte Insights. https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/insights/us/articles/6367_Augmented-shopping/DI_Augmented-shopping.pdf

Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade (DPIIT). (2022). *Contribution of Start-ups* [Report by Minister of Commerce and Industry - Government of India]. Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade (DPIIT). https://dpiit.gov.in/sites/default/files/rs254.pdf

Digalwar, A. K., & Giridhar, G. (2015). Interpretive Structural Modeling Approach for Development of Electric Vehicle Market in India. *Procedia CIRP*, *26*, 40–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2014.07.125

Dubey, R., Gunasekaran, A., & Singh, T. (2015). Building theory of sustainable manufacturing using total interpretive structural modelling. *International Journal of Systems Science: Operations & Logistics*, *2*(4), 231–247. https://doi.org/10.1080/23302674.2015.1025890

Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Baabdullah, A. M., Ribeiro-Navarrete, S., Giannakis, M., Al-Debei, M. M., Dennehy, D., Metri, B., Buhalis, D., Cheung, C. M. K., Conboy, K., Doyle, R., Dubey, R., Dutot, V., Felix, R., Goyal, D. P., Gustafsson, A., Hinsch, C., Jebabli, I., … Wamba, S. F. (2022). Metaverse beyond the hype: Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, *66*, 102542. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2022.102542

Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Wang, Y., Alalwan, A. A., Ahn, S. J. (Grace), Balakrishnan, J., Barta, S., Belk, R., Buhalis, D., Dutot, V., Felix, R., Filieri, R., Flavián, C., Gustafsson, A., Hinsch,

C., Hollensen, S., Jain, V., Kim, J., Krishen, A. S., … Wirtz, J. (2022). Metaverse marketing: How the metaverse will shape the future of consumer research and practice. *Psychology & Marketing*, mar.21767. https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.21767

Giang Barrera, K., & Shah, D. (2023a). Marketing in the Metaverse: Conceptual understanding, framework, and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, *155*, 113420. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.113420

Giang Barrera, K., & Shah, D. (2023b). Marketing in the Metaverse: Conceptual understanding, framework, and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, *155*, 113420. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.113420

Gkritsi, E. (2022, March 16). HSBC Enters the Metaverse Through Partnership With The Sandbox. *Yahoo! Finance*. https://finance.yahoo.com/news/hsbc-enters-metaverse-partnership-sandbox-083338566.html

Guckenbiehl, P., & Corral de Zubielqui, G. (2022). Start-ups' business model changes during the COVID-19 pandemic: Counteracting adversities and pursuing opportunities. *International Small Business Journal: Researching Entrepreneurship*, *40*(2), 150–177. https://doi.org/10.1177/02662426211055447

Hackl, C., Lueth, D., & Bartolo, T. D. (2022). *Navigating the metaverse: A guide to limitless possibilities in a WEB 3.0 world*. Wiley.

Han, D. I. D., Weber, J., Bastiaansen, M., Mitas, O., & Lub, X. (2020). Blowing your mind: A conceptual framework of augmented reality and virtual reality enhanced cultural visitor experiences using EEG experience measures. *International Journal of Technology Marketing, 14*(1), 47. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJTMKT.2020.105118

Hazan, E., Kelly, G., Khan, H., Spillecke, D., & Yee, L. (2022). *Marketing in the metaverse: An opportunity for innovation and*

*experimentation*. https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilitie s/growth-marketing-and-sales/our-insights/marketing-in-the-metaverse-an-opportunity-for-innovation-and-experimentation

Hennig-Thurau, T., Aliman, D. N., Herting, A. M., Cziehso, G. P., Linder, M., & Kübler, R. V. (2022). Social interactions in the metaverse: Framework, initial evidence, and research roadmap. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-022-00908-0

Hennig-Thurau, T., & Ognibeni, B. (2022). Metaverse Marketing. *NIM Marketing Intelligence Review*, *14*(2), 43–47. https://doi.org/10.2478/nimmir-2022-0016

Hofstetter, R., de Bellis, E., Brandes, L., Clegg, M., Lamberton, C., Reibstein, D., Rohlfsen, F., Schmitt, B., & Zhang, J. Z. (2022). Crypto-marketing: How non-fungible tokens (NFTs) challenge traditional marketing. *Marketing Letters*, *33*(4), 705–711. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11002-022-09639-2

Hollensen, S., Kotler, P., & Opresnik, M. O. (2022). Metaverse – the new marketing universe. *Journal of Business Strategy*. https://doi.org/10.1108/JBS-01-2022-0014

Iyer, P. (2023, February 7). CEO NITI Aayog Param Iyer on Budget 2023-24: An infrastructure push for the people. *The Indian Express*. https://indianexpress.com/article/opin ion/columns/ceo-niti-aayog-param-iyer-on-budget-2023-24-an-infrastructure-push-for-the-people-8427886/

Jaipong, P., Siripipattanakul, S., Sriboonruang, P., & Sitthipon, T. (2023). A Review of Metaverse and Cybersecurity in the Digital Era. *International Journal of Computing Sciences Research; Vol 7 (2023): Volume 7*. //stepacademic.net/ijcsr/article/view/ 377

Jolhe, D. A., & Babu, A. S. (2014). Modifications in interpretive structural

modelling methodology to enhance its applicability in group decision process and power of discrimination. *International Journal of Business Excellence*, *7*(3), 281. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBEX.2014.060 779

Kalra, U., & Shubhankar, S. (2022). *Startup compass: How iconic entrepreneurs got it right*. HarperCollins Publishers.

Koohang, A., Nord, J. H., Ooi, K.-B., Tan, G. W.-H., Al-Emran, M., Aw, E. C.-X., Baabdullah, A. M., Buhalis, D., Cham, T.-H., Dennis, C., Dutot, V., Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Mogaji, E., Pandey, N., Phau, I., Raman, R., Sharma, A., Sigala, M., … Wong, L.-W. (2023). Shaping the Metaverse into Reality: A Holistic Multidisciplinary Understanding of Opportunities, Challenges, and Avenues for Future Investigation. *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, 1–31. https://doi.org/10.1080/08874417.2023. 2165197

Kozinets, R. V., Ferreira, D. A., & Chimenti, P. (2021). How Do Platforms Empower Consumers? Insights from the Affordances and Constraints of Reclame Aqui. *Journal of Consumer Research*, *48*(3), 428–455. https://doi.org/10.1093/jcr/ucab014

Mageto, J., Twinomurinzi, H., Luke, R., Mhlongo, S., Bwalya, K., & Bvuma, S. (2022). Building resilience into smart mobility for urban cities: An emerging economy perspective. *International Journal of Production Research*, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2022. 2139866

Mccracken, H. (2022, September 9). Everything you always wanted to know about the metaverse. *Fast Company*. https://www.fastcompany.com/907797 14/everything-you-always-wanted-to-know-about-the-metaverse

Nalbant, K. G., & Aydin, S. (2023). Development and Transformation in Digital Marketing and Branding with Artificial Intelligence and Digital Technologies Dynamics in the Metaverse Universe. *Journal of Metaverse*, *3*(1), 9–18. https://doi.org/10.57019/jmv.1148015

Park, S.-M., & Kim, Y.-G. (2022). A Metaverse: Taxonomy, Components, Applications, and Open Challenges. *IEEE Access*, *10*, 4209–4251. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3140175

Pooyandeh, M., Han, K.-J., & Sohn, I. (2022). Cybersecurity in the AI-Based Metaverse: A Survey. *Applied Sciences*, *12*(24), 12993. https://doi.org/10.3390/app122412993

Rajan, R., Dhir, S., & Sushil. (2021). Technology management for innovation in organizations: An argumentation-based modified TISM approach. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, *28*(6), 1959–1986. https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-01-2020-0019

Rauschnabel, P. A. (2021). Augmented reality is eating the real-world! The substitution of physical products by holograms. *International Journal of Information Management*, *57*, 102279. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102279

Rauschnabel, P. A., He, J., & Ro, Y. K. (2018). Antecedents to the adoption of augmented reality smart glasses: A closer look at privacy risks. *Journal of Business Research*, *92*, 374–384. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.08.008

Riar, M., Xi, N., Korbel, J. J., Zarnekow, R., & Hamari, J. (2022). Using augmented reality for shopping: A framework for AR induced consumer behavior, literature review and future agenda. *Internet Research*. https://doi.org/10.1108/INTR-08-2021-0611

Ruusunen, N., Hallikainen, H., & Laukkanen, T. (2023). Does imagination compensate for the need for touch in 360-virtual shopping? *International Journal of Information Management*, *70*, 102622. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102622

Sagheer, S., Yadav, S. S., & Deshmukh, S. G. (2009). An application of interpretative structural modeling of the compliance to food standards. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, *58*(2), 136–159. https://doi.org/10.1108/17410400910928734

Sebastian, G. (2022). A Descriptive Study on Metaverse: Cybersecurity Risks, Controls, and Regulatory Framework. *International Journal of Security and Privacy in Pervasive Computing*, *15*(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.4018/IJSPPC.315591

Shen, B., Tan, W., Guo, J., Zhao, L., & Qin, P. (2021). How to Promote User Purchase in Metaverse? A Systematic Literature Review on Consumer Behavior Research and Virtual Commerce Application Design. *Applied Sciences*, *11*(23), 11087. https://doi.org/10.3390/app112311087

Sherman, W. R., & Craig, A. B. (2019). *Understanding virtual reality: Interface, application, and design* (Second edition). Morgan Kaufmann.

Singh, R. K., Garg, S. K., & Deshmukh, S. G. (2007). Interpretive structural modelling of factors for improving competitiveness of SMEs. *International Journal of Productivity and Quality Management*, *2*(4), 423. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJPQM.2007.013336

Sitammagari, K., Murphy, S., Kowalkowski, M., Chou, S.-H., Sullivan, M., Taylor, S., Kearns, J., Batchelor, T., Rivet, C., Hole, C., Hinson, T., McCreary, P., Brown, R., Dunn, T., Neuwirth, Z., & McWilliams, A. (2021). Insights From Rapid Deployment of a "Virtual Hospital" as Standard Care During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, *174*(2), 192–199. https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-4076

Speicher, M., Hall, B. D., & Nebeling, M. (2019). What is Mixed Reality? *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300767

Sun, J., Gan, W., Chao, H.-C., & Yu, P. S. (2022). *Metaverse: Survey, Applications, Security, and Opportunities*.

https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2210.0 7990

Sung, E. (Christine), Bae, S., Han, D.-I. D., & Kwon, O. (2021). Consumer engagement via interactive artificial intelligence and mixed reality. *International Journal of Information Management*, *60*, 102382. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.202 1.102382

Taulli, T. (2022). *How to Create a Web3 Startup: A Guide for Tomorrow's Breakout Companies* (1st Edition). Apress.

Trunfio, M., & Rossi, S. (2022). Advances in Metaverse Investigation: Streams of Research and Future Agenda. *Virtual Worlds*, *1*(2), 103–129. https://doi.org/10.3390/virtualworlds1 020007

Warfield, J. N. (1974). Developing Interconnection Matrices in Structural Modeling. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, *SMC-4*(1), 81–87. https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMC.1974.54 08524

Wedel, M., Bigné, E., & Zhang, J. (2020). Virtual and augmented reality: Advancing research in consumer marketing. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, *37*(3), 443–465. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijresmar.2020. 04.004

Yadav, M. S., & Pavlou, P. A. (2020). Technology-enabled interactions in digital environments:a conceptual foundation for current and future research. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, *48*(1), 132–136. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-019-00712-3

Yang, Q., Zhao, Y., Huang, H., Xiong, Z., Kang, J., & Zheng, Z. (2022). Fusing Blockchain and AI With Metaverse: A Survey. *IEEE Open Journal of the Computer Society*, *3*, 122–136. https://doi.org/10.1109/OJCS.2022.318 8249

Yim, C. K. (Bennett), Tse, D. K., & Chan, K. W. (2008). Strengthening Customer Loyalty through Intimacy and Passion: Roles of Customer–Firm Affection and Customer–Staff Relationships in Services. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *45*(6), 741–756. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.45.6.741

Zhang, G., Cao, J., Liu, D., & Qi, J. (2022). Popularity of the metaverse: Embodied social presence theory perspective. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*, 997751. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.997 751

\*\*\*