

CLARIFICATIONS FOR ANMC 2019

1. Para 3 states the relevant provisions of the Security and the Solidarity Act (2018). However, the provisions with respect to the jurisdiction of the said Act and the adjudicating authority for the same has not been provided. What are the relevant provisions for the jurisdiction and the adjudicating authority?

This query requires no answer.

2. What is the content of the media reports in Para 3 which the Government in its press note alleges to be fake? What are the relevant media reports or any relevant paragraphs that can be quoted from such reports?

This query requires no answer.

3. In Para 22, what are the 'oral and documentary evidences' presented before the Trial Court on the basis of which they convicted Ms. Helana Mallaya?

This query requires no answer.

4. In Section 1 of the Security and the Solidarity Act (2018), the phrase 'within 60 days of the general election' in literal meaning indicates the period of 60 days after the general elections. Is that the intention of the problem framer/legislature while enacting the Act? (because this seems contradictory to the purpose with which the Act was brought into force)

There is no ambiguity in the construction of the said section.

5. What are the relevant cyber laws which govern media platforms like 'Instapost' in Latveria? What all is considered as 'publication' under the Latverian law?

This query requires no answer.

6. Under Latverian Laws, it is necessary that every media platform needs a media operating License? (Para 8) If yes, who issues this license and what are the related provisions for issuing/granting such license?

This query requires no answer.

7. Under Para 7, how does the concept of 'gleam more' works with respect to the 'Watchdog's Instapost account'? Can the Latverians see the posts by 'Watchdog' even if it is not added to the user's gleam more list sitting in Latveria or worldwide?

The moot proposition is self-explanatory.

8. How does the concept of 'home location' under Para 7 works? How much area does it covers or anything trending worldwide appears for the user to see? Can the users limit what they what to see under this feature of Instapost?

This query requires no answer.

9. In Para 19 wherein there are 'frequent allegations' for several attempts to register Complaint against Mr. Dimitri Von Beuren has failed, who made these allegations? Are there any Latverian specific news reports or publication made before regarding the same? If yes, what are their specific names?

This query requires no answer.

10. In Para 3, is there any specific newspaper or media platform which reported the alleged 'fake news' as the Government claims it to be?

This query requires no answer.

11. Does the language of Explanation to Section 3 of Security and Solidarity Act (2018) need more clarification?

There is no ambiguity in the construction of the said section.

12. In appendix- 3rd point Instapost is respondent, but in 8th point InstaPost is the petitioner? How is Instapost to be treated while written submissions and in pleadings?

Please refer to Appendix - I of the Moot Proposition.

13. In Para 9 who exercises the authority or what (which country's) laws does Instapost follow to decide upon what content can be or should be blocked or removed from the media platform?

This query requires no answer.

14. In Para 16, whether the phrase "other members" includes Mr Dimitri Von? Further, are all the other allegations affecting his reputation?

The moot proposition is self-explanatory.

15. Is there any evidence which proves FIR was not launched due to the political pressure, against Mr Dimitri?

This query requires no answer.

16. The Bench Strength of the Moot Court?

This query requires no answer.

17. Paragraph 3 - Section 3 explanation – It is not necessary to show that the extremist or seditious statement was in fact published to a third party, provided there was an intent to do so.

Section 5 – In order to be convicted of an offence under section 3 or be made subject to the take down order under section 4 of this act the offending message/statement must be physically distributed or published in Latvia or be addressed to Latvian residents.

The said sections are “Contradictory”?

This query requires no answer.

18. Paragraph 8- What is meant by “Media Operating Licence” ?

This query requires no answer.

19. Can the teams raise additional issues apart from those which have been provided in the moot compromise?

Participants are to restrict their arguments to the matters listed for hearing before the constitutional bench.

20. The publisher belong to which community Bejorans or Khalas ?

The moot proposition is self-explanatory.